Distributed Programming with Cloud Haskell

Andres Löh

14 June 2013, Big Tech Day 6 — Copyright © 2013 Well-Typed LLP



- Introduction
- Haskell
- Cloud Haskell
- Communication
- Going distributed
- Towards Map-Reduce



Introduction

- Framework (a number of related packages) for Haskell
- Message-passing distributed concurrency (Erlang, actors)
- ► All in libraries; no (specific) compiler support required



- Global view on a distributed program
- Single program runs in potentially many places
- Processes and nodes are first class entities
- Communication via (typed) messages
- Functions can be sent
- Programmable serialization
- Easy to monitor processes (and recover from failure)
- (Draft of) formal semantics



Different problems have different requirements / cost models.



Different problems have different requirements / cost models.

Concurrency

- threads and locks (MVar s)
- aynchronous computations (Async s)
- software transactional memory
- ▶ ...



Different problems have different requirements / cost models.

(Deterministic) Parallelism

- evaluation strategies
- dataflow-based task parallelism
- flat and nested data parallelism

▶ ...



Different problems have different requirements / cost models.

Distributed Concurrency

- Cloud Haskell
- ▶ ...



Freedom of choice

- Haskell is great for embedded domain-specific languages.
- ► GHC has a very capable run-time system.
- You can pick whatever suits the needs of your task.
- All the approaches can be combined!



Freedom of choice

- Haskell is great for embedded domain-specific languages.
- ► GHC has a very capable run-time system.
- You can pick whatever suits the needs of your task.
- All the approaches can be combined!

Lesson

Rather than picking a language based on the model you want, pick a library based on the problem you have.



Cloud Haskell Example

```
server :: Process ()
server = forever do
  () \leftarrow expect
  liftIO $ putStrLn "ping"
client :: ProcessId \rightarrow Process ()
client serverPid = forever do
  send serverPid ()
  liftIO $ threadDelay (1 * 10<sup>6</sup>)
main :: IO ()
main = do
  Right t \leftarrow createTransport "127.0.0.1" "201306"
                               defaultTCPParameters
  node \leftarrow newLocalNode t initRemoteTable
  runProcess node $ do
     serverPid ← getSelfPid
     spawnLocal $ client serverPid
     server
```



Haskell

Pure Functions

dist :: Floating $a \Rightarrow a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a$ dist x y = sqrt (x * x + y * y)



Pure Functions

```
dist :: Floating a \Rightarrow a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a
dist x y = sqrt (x * x + y * y)
```

```
data Tree a = Leaf a | Node (Tree a) (Tree a)
size :: Tree a \rightarrow Int
size (Leaf n) = 1
size (Node I r) = size I + size r
```



Pure Functions

```
dist :: Floating a \Rightarrow a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a
dist x y = sqrt (x * x + y * y)
```

```
data Tree a = Leaf a | Node (Tree a) (Tree a)
size :: Tree a \rightarrow Int
size (Leaf n) = 1
size (Node I r) = size I + size r
```

search :: Eq a \Rightarrow Tree a \rightarrow a \rightarrow Bool search (Leaf n) x = n == x search (Node I r) x = search I x || search r x



```
\begin{array}{ll} \text{dist} & :: \text{Floating } a \Rightarrow a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a \\ \text{size} & :: \text{Tree } a \rightarrow \text{Int} \\ \text{search} :: \text{Eq } a \Rightarrow \text{Tree } a \rightarrow a \rightarrow \text{Bool} \end{array}
```



dist :: Floating $a \Rightarrow a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a$

dist x y dist 2 3 dist (2 + x) (3 + x)



```
conversation :: IO ()
conversation = do
  putStrLn "Who are you?"
  name ← getLine
  putStrLn $ "Hi " ++ name ++ ". Where are you from?"
  loc ← getLine
  putStrLn $
    if loc == "Munich"
      then "Oh, I love Munich!"
    else "Sorry, where is " ++ loc ++ "?"
```



```
conversation :: IO ()
conversation = do
  putStrLn "Who are you?"
  name ← getLine
  putStrLn $ "Hi " ++ name ++ ". Where are you from?"
  loc ← getLine
  putStrLn $
    if loc == "Munich"
      then "Oh, I love Munich!"
    else "Sorry, where is " ++ loc ++ "?"
```

readNLines :: Int \rightarrow IO [String] readNLines n = replicateM n getLine



Monads

. . .

Maybe a State s a Random a Signal a Par a IO a STM a Process a

- -- possibly failing
- -- state-maintaining
- -- depending on a PRNG
- -- time-changing
- -- annotated for parallelism
- -- arbitrary side effects
- -- logged transactions
- -- Cloud Haskell processes



Monads

Maybe a State s a Random a Signal a Par a IO a STM a Process a

- -- possibly failing
- -- state-maintaining
- -- depending on a PRNG
- -- time-changing
- -- annotated for parallelism
- -- arbitrary side effects
- -- logged transactions
- -- Cloud Haskell processes

"Semicolon" is overloaded

You can define your own "monads". You can decide what the semantics of sequencing in your application should be.



Concurrency

forkIO ::: IO () \rightarrow IO ThreadId



Concurrency

forkIO :: IO () \rightarrow IO ThreadId

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{threadDelay}::\mbox{Int}\to\mbox{IO}\ () \\ \mbox{forever} & ::\mbox{Monad}\ m \Rightarrow m\ a \to m\ b & \mbox{--here:}\ \mbox{IO}\ a \to \mbox{IO}\ b \end{array}$



Concurrency

forkIO :: IO () \rightarrow IO ThreadId

```
\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{threadDelay}::\mbox{Int}\to\mbox{IO}\ () \\ \mbox{forever} & ::\mbox{Monad}\ m \Rightarrow m\ a \to m\ b & \mbox{--here:}\ \mbox{IO}\ a \to \mbox{IO}\ b \end{array}
```

```
printForever :: String → IO ()
printForever msg = forever $ do
    putStrLn msg
    threadDelay (1 * 10^6)
main :: IO ()
main = do
    forkIO $ printForever "child 1"
    forkIO $ printForever "child 2"
    printForever "parent"
```



Cloud Haskell

Cloud Haskell example revisited

```
server :: Process ()
server = forever do
  () \leftarrow expect
  liftIO $ putStrLn "ping"
client :: ProcessId \rightarrow Process ()
client serverPid = forever do
  send serverPid ()
  liftIO $ threadDelay (1 * 10<sup>6</sup>)
main :: IO ()
main = do
  Right t \leftarrow createTransport "127.0.0.1" "201306"
                               defaultTCPParameters
  node \leftarrow newLocalNode t initRemoteTable
  runProcess node $ do
     serverPid ← getSelfPid
     spawnLocal $ client serverPid
     server
```



Layered architecture

Over-simplified:

User application

Higher-level libraries

Distributed process core library

Backend (simplelocalnet, Azure, EC2, ...)

Transport (TCP, in-memory, SSH, ZeroMQ, ...)

System libraries



Nodes, Processes, Communication



Nodes, Processes, Communication

- ► Backend responsible for nodes
- Processes and communication are backend-agnostic



For the main process:

runProcess :: LocalNode \rightarrow Process () \rightarrow IO ()



Sending and receiving messages

Ad-hoc:

Sending is asynchronous. Receiving blocks.



Sending and receiving messages

Ad-hoc:

Sending is asynchronous. Receiving blocks.

Typed channels:

 $\begin{array}{lll} {\sf newChan} & :: {\sf Serializable } a \Rightarrow {\sf Process} \ ({\sf SendPort } a, {\sf ReceivePort } a) \\ {\sf sendChan} & :: {\sf Serializable } a \Rightarrow {\sf SendPort } a \to a \to {\sf Process} \ () \\ {\sf receiveChan} :: {\sf Serializable } a \Rightarrow {\sf ReceivePort } a \to {\sf Process} \ a \\ & \cdots \end{array}$



Serializable a = (Typeable a, Binary a)



Serializable a = (Typeable a, Binary a)

Typeable a	has a run-time type representation
Binary a	has a binary representation



Haskell's typing discipline

Haskell is a statically typed language, but can be dynamically typed locally, on demand.



Haskell's typing discipline

Haskell is a statically typed language, but can be dynamically typed locally, on demand.

typeOf:: Typeable $a \Rightarrow a \rightarrow$ TypeReptoDyn:: Typeable $a \Rightarrow a \rightarrow$ DynamicfromDynamic :: Typeable $a \Rightarrow$ Dynamic \rightarrow Maybe a

GHC can "derive" an instance of Typeable for any datatype automatically.



encode :: Binary $a \Rightarrow a \rightarrow ByteString$ decode :: Binary $a \Rightarrow ByteString \rightarrow a$

- Haskell has no built-in serialization.
- Automatic generation of sane Binary instances for many datatypes possible via datatype-generic or meta-programming.
- Programmer has control instances can deviate from simply serializing the in-memory representation.



Communication

How to reply

Idea

Messages can include process ids and channel send ports.



How to reply

Idea

Messages can include process ids and channel send ports.

Old server:

server :: Process () server = forever \$ do () ← expect liftIO \$ putStrLn "ping"



How to reply

Idea

Messages can include process ids and channel send ports.

New server:



Adapting the client

```
Old client:

client :: ProcessId \rightarrow Process ()

client serverPid =

forever $ do

send serverPid ()

liftIO $ threadDelay (1 * 10^6)
```



Adapting the client

```
Old client:
client :: ProcessId \rightarrow Process ()
client serverPid =
```

```
forever $ do
send serverPid ()
```

```
liftIO $ threadDelay (1 * 10^6)
```



Adapting the client





- We can send ids of other processes.
- ► Forwarding, redirection, broadcasting.



- We can send ids of other processes.
- ► Forwarding, redirection, broadcasting.

For typed channels:

- We can serialize SendPort.
- But we cannot serialize ReceivePort.



Some rules about exchanging messages:

- only one mailbox per process;
- we can expect a particular type;
- we can receiveWait for specific messages;
- typed channels are separate;
- sane ordering of messages;
- messages may remain undelivered.



Going distributed

Distributed ping-pong

No changes to server and client are needed.

Old main :



Distributed ping-pong

No changes to server and client are needed.

New main (using distributed-process-simplelocalnet):

```
main :: IO ()
main = do
  args \leftarrow getArgs
  let rtbl = remoteTable initRemoteTable
  case args of
     ["master", port] \rightarrow do
       backend \leftarrow initializeBackend "127.0.0.1" port rtbl
       startMaster backend master
     ["slave", port] \rightarrow do
       backend \leftarrow initializeBackend "127.0.0.1" port rtbl
       startSlave backend
```



startSlave :: Backend \rightarrow IO () -- does nothing startMaster :: Backend \rightarrow ([Nodeld] \rightarrow Process ()) \rightarrow IO ()



Master gets node ids of all slaves.



```
\begin{array}{l} \text{master}::[\text{Nodeld}] \rightarrow \text{Process} ()\\ \text{master slaves} = \textbf{do}\\ \text{serverPid} \leftarrow \text{getSelfPid}\\ \text{forM}\_\text{slaves} \\ \lambda \text{nid} \rightarrow \text{spawn nid} (\$(\text{mkClosure 'client}) \text{ serverPid})\\ \text{server} \end{array}
```



```
\begin{array}{l} \text{master}::[\text{Nodeld}] \rightarrow \text{Process} () \\ \text{master slaves} = \textbf{do} \\ \text{serverPid} \leftarrow \text{getSelfPid} \\ \text{forM}\_\text{slaves} \\ \lambda \text{nid} \rightarrow \text{spawn nid} \underbrace{(\$(\text{mkClosure 'client}) \text{ serverPid})}_{\text{server}} \end{array}
```

Spawns a function call on a remote node.



- "Single program assumption"
- Top-level functions are easy
- (Partially) applied functions are turned into closures



- "Single program assumption"
- Top-level functions are easy
- (Partially) applied functions are turned into closures
- Currently based on a bit of meta-programming.
- ► In the future perhaps using a (small) compiler extension.



Towards Map-Reduce



```
\begin{array}{l} \text{master} ::: [\text{Input}] \rightarrow [\text{Nodeld}] \rightarrow \text{Process} () \\ \text{master inputs workers} = \textbf{do} \\ \text{masterPid} \quad \leftarrow \text{getSelfPid} \\ \text{workerPids} \leftarrow \text{forM workers} \\ \lambda \text{nid} \rightarrow \text{spawn nid} (\$(\text{mkClosure worker}) \text{ masterPid}) \\ \text{forM}_(\text{zip inputs (cycle workerPids))} \\ \lambda(\text{input, workerPid}) \rightarrow \text{send workerPid input} \\ r \leftarrow \underline{\text{collectResults}} (\text{length inputs}) \\ \text{liftIO} \$ \text{ print r} \end{array}
```



Workers

```
workerPids \leftarrow forM workers $
\lambdanid \rightarrow spawn nid ($(mkClosure 'worker) masterPid)
...
```

```
worker :: ProcessId \rightarrow Process ()
worker serverPid = forever $ do
x \leftarrow expect -- obtain function input
send serverPid (expensiveFunction x)
```

The expensiveFunction is "mapped" over all inputs.



Collecting results

. . .

 $r \leftarrow collectResults (length inputs) \\ liftIO $ print r \\ }$

```
\begin{array}{c} \mbox{collectResults}::\mbox{Int}\rightarrow\mbox{Process Result}\\ \mbox{collectResults}=\mbox{go}\mbox{emptyResult}\\ \mbox{where}\\ \mbox{go}::\mbox{Result}\rightarrow\mbox{Int}\rightarrow\mbox{Process Result}\\ \mbox{go}\mbox{:}\mbox{Result}\rightarrow\mbox{Int}\rightarrow\mbox{Process Result}\\ \mbox{go}\mbox{:}\mbox{acc}\mbox{0}=\mbox{return}\mbox{acc}\\ \mbox{go}\mbox{:}\mbox{acc}\mbox{n}=\mbox{do}\\ \mbox{r}\leftarrow\mbox{expect}\mbox{--obtain one result}\\ \mbox{go}\mbox{(combineResults}\mbox{acc}\mbox{r}\mbox{(n-1)}\end{array}
```

In go we "reduce" the results.



- Abstracting from expensiveFunction, emptyResult, combineResults (and inputs) yields a simple map-reduce function.
- Can easily use other ways to distribute work, for example work-stealing rather than work-pushing.
- Can use a hierarchy of distribution and reduction processes.



Conclusions

Aspects we hardly talked about:

- User-defined message types
- Matching of messages
- Embrace failure! (Linking and monitoring)
- Combination with other multicore frameworks



Aspects we hardly talked about:

- User-defined message types
- Matching of messages
- Embrace failure! (Linking and monitoring)
- Combination with other multicore frameworks

Remember:

- Cloud Haskell is a library (easy to change, extend, adapt)
- Cloud Haskell is ongoing work
- ► All of Haskell plus distributed programming
- Watch for exciting new backends and higher-level libraries



http://haskell-distributed.github.io/

Mini-tutorial blog series by Duncan Coutts and Edsko de Vries: http://www.well-typed.com/blog/70

